Medical Fee Dispute Resolution, MS-48
7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100 • Austin, Texas 78744-1645
512-804-4000 telephone • 512-804-4811 fax • www.tdi.texas.gov

# MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

## **GENERAL INFORMATION**

### **Requestor Name and Address**

MEMORIAL HERMANN HOSPITAL SYSTEM 3200 SW FREEWAY SUITE 2200 HOUSTON TX 77027 DWC Claim #:
Injured Employee:
Date of Injury:
Employer Name:
Insurance Carrier #:

Respondent Name

LM INSURANCE CORP

Carrier's Austin Representative Box
Box Number 01

**MFDR Tracking Number** 

M4-07-3772-01

MFDR Date Received FEBRUARY 21, 2007

#### REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "... The amount paid by the carrier falls below the hospital's expected reimbursement rate. My client expected a fair and reasonable reimbursement since there is no particular fee guideline establishing a maximum amount recoverable under the Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline (ACOHFG) found in Commission Rule 134.401. Because this admit was for trauma/burn falling within diagnostic codes ICD9-800.0-959.5, the entire admission should be reimbursed at a fair and reasonable rate under Rule 134.401(c)(5). The carrier instead paid the claim using the per diem methodology of 6 days inpatient, plus carve outs for cat scans, effectively reducing the hospital's usual and customary charges by 76%. The carrier did not conduct an audit of the hospital's usual and customary charges and the bill review did not dispute the usual and customary fees charged by the hospital. However, a reduction in excess of 76% of the hospital's unaudited usual and customary charges is on its face inherently unreasonable, especially for treatment of a severe trauma victim... In this case, the carrier paid just 24% of the hospital's usual and customary charges. The carrier has not supplied a copy of any alleged audit results or their billing and payment research to determine a fair and reasonable rate... Requestor submits that a fair and reasonable rate for treatment of this injured employee is the usual and customary charges incurred since the carrier refused to conduct an audit to refute those charges. Requestor is owed an additional \$25.375.67. plus interest."

Amount in Dispute: \$25,375.67

### RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

**Respondent's Position Summary:** The insurance carrier, or its agent, did not respond to the request for medical fee dispute resolution. The carrier was notified on February 27, 2007.

# **SUMMARY OF FINDINGS**

Date(s) of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
February 22, 2006 through February 28, 2006	Inpatient Services	\$25,375.67	\$0.00

### FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

# **Background**

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.401 sets out the fee guideline for acute care inpatient hospital services.
- 3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1 provides for fair and reasonable reimbursement of health care in the absence of an applicable fee guideline.
- 4. Texas Labor Code §413.011 sets forth provisions regarding reimbursement policies and guidelines.
- 5. The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:
  - W10, Z585 The charge for this procedure exceeds fair and reasonable.
  - W1, Z695 The charges for this hospitalization have been reduced based on the fee schedule allowance.
  - W1, Z560 The charge for this procedure exceeds the fee schedule or usual and customary allowance.
  - W1, Z652 Recommendation of payment has been based on a procedure code which best describes services rendered.

# <u>Findings</u>

- 1. This dispute relates to inpatient surgical services provided in a hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of former 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.401(c)(5)(A), which requires that when "Trauma (ICD-9 codes 800.0-959.50)" diagnosis codes are listed as the primary diagnosis, reimbursement for the entire admission shall be at a fair and reasonable rate. Review of box 67 on the hospital bill finds that the principle diagnosis code is listed as 807.08. The Division therefore determines that this inpatient admission shall be reimbursed at a fair and reasonable rate pursuant to Division rule at 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1 and Texas Labor Code §413.011(d).
- 2. This dispute relates to services with reimbursement subject to the provisions of 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1, effective May 16, 2002, 27 *Texas Register* 4047, which requires that "Reimbursement for services not identified in an established fee guideline shall be reimbursed at fair and reasonable rates as described in the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, §413.011 until such period that specific fee guidelines are established by the commission."
- 3. Texas Labor Code §413.011(d) requires that fee guidelines must be fair and reasonable and designed to ensure the quality of medical care and to achieve effective medical cost control. The guidelines may not provide for payment of a fee in excess of the fee charged for similar treatment of an injured individual of an equivalent standard of living and paid by that individual or by someone acting on that individual's behalf. It further requires that the Division consider the increased security of payment afforded by the Act in establishing the fee guidelines.
- 4. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(c)(2)(G), effective December 31, 2006, 31 Texas Register 10314, applicable to disputes filed on or after January 1, 2003, requires the requestor to provide "documentation that discusses, demonstrates, and justifies that the amount being sought is a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement in accordance with §134.1 of this title (relating to Medical Reimbursement) when the dispute involves health care for which the Division has not established a maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR), as applicable." Review of the submitted documentation finds that:
  - The requestor seeks full reimbursement of billed charges based upon "Due to the nature of the patient's injuries, she required life flight transport via air ambulance and emergency services and supplies during her stay. The hospital billed its usual and customary charges in the total amount of \$33,150.25. Due to the unusually extensive services and supplies provided for this patient's care and treatment, the hospital's usual and customary charges for room and board, ancillary services and supplies and drug charges should be paid at a fair and reasonable rate. Requestor submits that a fair and reasonable rate for treatment of this injured employee is the usual and customary charges incurred since the carrier refused to conduct an audit to refute those charges. Requestor is owed an additional \$25,375.67, plus interest."
  - The requestor did not provide documentation to demonstrate how it determined that full reimbursement of billed charges was fair and reasonable.
  - Documentation of the amount of reimbursement received for these same or similar services was not
    presented for review.
  - The requestor did not provide documentation to demonstrate how it determined its usual and customary charges for the disputed services.

- The Division has previously found that "hospital charges are not a valid indicator of a hospital's costs of providing services nor of what is being paid by other payors," as stated in the adoption preamble to the Division's former Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline, 22 Texas Register 6276. It further states that "Alternative methods of reimbursement were considered... and rejected because they use hospital charges as their basis and allow the hospitals to affect their reimbursement by inflating their charges..." 22 Texas Register 6268-6269. Therefore, the use of a hospital's "usual and customary" charges cannot be favorably considered when no other data or documentation was submitted to support that the payment amount being sought is a fair and reasonable reimbursement for the services in dispute.
- The Division has previously found that a reimbursement methodology based upon payment of a
  percentage of a hospital's billed charges does not produce an acceptable payment amount. This
  methodology was considered and rejected by the Division in the adoption preamble to the Division's former
  Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline, which states at 22 Texas Register 6276 that:

"A discount from billed charges was another method of reimbursement which was considered. Again, this method was found unacceptable because it leaves the ultimate reimbursement in the control of the hospital, thus defeating the statutory objective of effective cost control and the statutory standard not to pay more than for similar treatment of an injured individual of an equivalent standard of living. It also provides no incentive to contain medical costs, would be administratively burdensome for the Commission and system participants, and would require additional Commission resources."

Therefore, a reimbursement amount that is calculated based upon a percentage of a hospital's billed charges cannot be favorably considered when no other data or documentation was submitted to support that the payment amount being sought is a fair and reasonable reimbursement for the services in dispute.

- The requestor did not submit documentation to support that payment of the amount sought is a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement for the services in this dispute.
- The requestor did not support that payment of the requested amount would satisfy the requirements of 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1.

The request for additional reimbursement is not supported. Thorough review of the documentation submitted by the requestor finds that the requestor has not demonstrated or justified that payment of the amount sought would be a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement for the services in dispute. Additional payment cannot be recommended.

# **Conclusion**

The Division would like to emphasize that individual medical fee dispute outcomes rely upon the evidence presented by the requestor and respondent during dispute resolution, and the thorough review and consideration of that evidence. After thorough review and consideration of all the evidence presented by the parties to this dispute, it is determined that the submitted documentation does not support the reimbursement amount sought by the requestor. The Division concludes that this dispute was not filed in the form and manner prescribed under Division rules at 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307. The Division further concludes that the requestor failed to support its position that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

# **ORDER**

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 reimbursement for the services in dispute.

### **Authorized Signature**

		November 29, 2012
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date
		N
		November 29, 2012
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Manager	Date

#### YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute may appeal this decision by requesting a contested case hearing. A completed **Request for a Medical Contested Case Hearing** (form **DWC045A**) must be received by the DWC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. A request for hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers Compensation, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744. The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request for a hearing to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §148.3(c), including a **certificate of service demonstrating that the request has been sent to the other party**.

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.